Salton Sea Authority 82995 Highway 111, Suite 200 Indio, CA 92201

Subject: "Public Comment, 5/21/20 SSA Board Meeting"

Greetings, distinguish SSA's Board Members:

- 1. I am thankful for the opportunity to submit the following comment that would demonstrate a need for you to **reverse** the "current course of action" that you **strongly support**. I am hoping that we can move forward working together in the interest of **saving** and **restoring** the Salton Sea, our **environment**, and the wellbeing of nearby **communities**. (My comment can be read in about 3-4 minutes. I appreciate an additional minute It is important Thank you).
- 2. My name is **Nikola Lakic**. I am an **Architect** and the **author** of the **long-term** solution for the restoration of the Salton Sea. My official title is a **Graduate Engineer Architect**.
- 3. For the record, I respectfully urge you, to stop promoting and supporting the "Current Course of Action" "Smaller Sustainable Lake" or so-called "Perimeter Lake" and related projects because the "Current Course of Action policy" was/is based on a false assumption that importing seawater is not a feasible solution. Also, it was/is based on gaining some land on the southern part of the Lake for geothermal and agricultural benefits. You know very well that the "Current Course of Action" leads to smaller, saltier, smellier and more polluted Lake that would sooner or later end up as a "Smaller Sustainable Cesspool" which means "destruction" of the Lake slowly and surely with the tremendous consequence that each of you will be responsible for if the "Current Course of Action" continues.
- 4. My proposal for the restoration of the Salton Sea involves an architectural design that incorporates several breakthrough technologies in the energy industry which I have invented and modified to incorporate local conditions of the Salton Sea area. It might be considered as a project of the century costing only about \$10 billion and in return generating revenue in 100s billions. By the way, please do not equate my proposal (as something similar and already tried) with the attempt (proposal) for importing seawater of dozen years ago (estimated about \$9 billion) that was rejected rightfully so.
- **5.** I am using this opportunity, as I did at least 22 times officially, in over 6 years period, to offer you **again** my **expertise** on the **subject** of the Salton Sea and **technologies** involved.
- **6. If invited**, during my presentations, you would learn about the **architectural** design How to **divide** the Lake into **three** sections **properly** How to **redirect** New River and Alamo

River back to Mexico. How to **import seawater** into **central** section **efficiently** – how to provide a condition for **tourism** - how to provide vast **wildlife** sanctuary – how to harness **hydropower efficiently** – how to harness **solar** energy **efficiently** – how to harness **geothermal** energy **efficiently** – how to drill **deeper** and **wider** wellbores **efficiently** – how to **desalinate** the Salton Sea – and in process of **desalination** - produce potable water **for free**, and **salty brine** that can be used for the production of **lithium**. My proposal **does not** interfere with plans **already** in **motion** for the extraction of **lithium** from the brine of **conventional** geothermal plants – it is in **harmony** with them and in fact, **refills** depleting known **geothermal reservoirs** which is a huge **benefit**. Also, my proposal is in **harmony** with the Quantification Settlement Agreement (**QSA**).

- 7. Ignoring me or my proposal, for whatever reasoning you have, is not a productive approach for the restoration of the Salton Sea. It leads to losing more time, and money and leads to increasing environmental hazards and endangering the health of the local population. That is just the opposite of what your original function supposed to be when the SSA was formed.
- 8. I would like to **emphasize** that getting **funding** for my proposal **will not** be an **issue**.
- 9. I would like to reinstate again because it looks like that you are not getting it yet that by implementing my system of pipelines just for farmlands Northern and Southern area of the Salton Sea would generate, at least, several hundred million dollars in revenue every year "out of blue" literally. More likely will be around \$1 billion. It is mind-boggling that someone would ignore such a proposal and rather proceed with asking governments (state and federal) for money for the "destruction" of the Lake and for relatively small self-interest.
- **10. Each segment** of my **presentation** would take about **15-20** minutes. Preferably **30** minutes. So, **2 hours** of my presentation would save you **2 weeks** of study on your own. By the way, I did send **several times** a copy of my proposal divided into **five segments** (summaries) for easier understanding to Linda (Seroy) Thill, Board Secretary, for the **record**, and I included **each of you** in the "cc" address. So, you are aware of it despite ignoring me therefore, your actions to **deceive** population, **state**, and **federal** officials are **systematic**, **intentional**, and **unlawful** conduct.

Sincerely,

Nikola Lakic, Graduate Eng. Architect Geothermal Worldwide, Inc. La Quinta, CA www.GeothermalWorldwide.com

