

June 23, 2020

Linda (Seroy) Thill
Board Secretary/Administrative Assistant
Salton Sea Authority
82995 Highway 111, Suite 200
Indio, CA 92201
760-863-2695
info@ssajpa.org

Subject: **“Public Comment, 6/25/20 SSA Board Meeting”**

Greetings, distinguish SSA’s Board Members:

1. I am thankful for the opportunity to submit the following comment that would demonstrate a need for you to **STOP promoting** and **supporting** the “Current Course of Action” – **“Smaller Sustainable Lake”** or so-called **“Perimeter Lake”** and related projects - because the “Current Course of Action policy” **was/is** based on a **“FALSE” assumption** that importing seawater is **not a feasible** solution. Also, it **was/is** based on gaining some land on the southern part of the Lake for the IID to be used for geothermal and agricultural benefits.
2. I am **hoping** that common sense will **prevail** and that we can move forward working **together** in the interest of **saving** and **restoring** the Salton Sea, our **environment**, and the wellbeing of nearby **communities**. *(My comment can be read in about 3-4 minutes. I appreciate an additional minute if needed - Thank you).*
3. Since my **previous** “Comment Letter” read by Secretary Ms. Thill on the previous Board Meeting via Zoom Webinar on May 21, 2020, - was **not read completely** because of limited 3-minutes time, I am using this opportunity to include remaining points in this letter **earlier** and to reinstate a few points if time allows. The letter was/is very **important** – it has a **legal** factor involved.
4. I did send **several times** a copy of my proposal divided into **five segments** (summaries) for an easier understanding to Linda (Seroy) Thill, Board Secretary, for the **record** and to **send** a copy to **you**. I also included **each Director of that time** in the “cc” address. So, you are aware of it – despite **ignoring** it since 2013. You simply chose **“destruction”** of the Lake over **“saving”** it. Of course, you are using **different** wording, but the facts are **indisputable** and on my side. Therefore, your actions to **deceive** public, **state**, and **federal** officials are **systematic, intentional, and unlawful** conduct.
5. I would like to reinstate **again** - because it looks like that you are not **getting it** yet – that by implementing my system of pipelines - **just** for farmlands **Northern** and **Southern** area of the Salton Sea – would generate, at least, **several hundred million** dollars in revenue **every year** - “out of blue” - **literally**. More likely will be around **\$1 billion**.
6. It is **mind-boggling** that you would **ignore** such a proposal and rather proceed with **asking** governments (**state** and **federal**) for money for the **“destruction”** of the Lake.

7. I would like to mention that my work is well **documented** (patented) and **your** actions are well **documented** too.
8. You know **very well** that the “Current Course of Action” leads to **smaller, saltier, smellier** and more **polluted** Lake – that would sooner or later end up as a “Smaller Sustainable **Cesspool**” which means “**DESTRUCTION**” (Disappearance) of the Salton Sea - **slowly** and **surely** - with the tremendous **consequence** that **each** of you will be **responsible** for if the “Current Course of Action” continues.
9. Ignoring **me** or **my proposal**, for whatever reasoning you have, is **not** a **productive** approach for the restoration of the Salton Sea. It leads to **losing** more **time**, and **money** and leads to **increasing** environmental **hazards** and endangering the **health** of the local population. That is just the **opposite** of what your original **function** supposed to be when the SSA was formed. Also, it is **against** the State’s and relevant agencies’ **policy**.
10. By now you know that my proposal is a **comprehensive** design **consisting** of an **architectural design** that includes - **Dividing** Lake into **three** sections - **Redirecting** New River and Alamo River back to Mexico - **Importing seawater** into the **central** section - Providing a condition for **tourism** - Providing vast **wildlife** sanctuary - Harnessing **hydropower** - Harnessing **solar** energy - Harnessing **geothermal** energy - Drilling **deeper** and **wider** wellbores - **Desalinating** the Salton Sea – and in process of **desalination** - producing potable water **for free**, and **salty brine** that can be used to produce **lithium**.
11. By the way, **please** do not **equate** my proposal (as something **similar** and already tried) with the attempt (proposal) for **importing seawater** of dozen years ago (estimated about \$9 billion) that was rejected – **rightfully so**. If you **equate** my proposal with a **previous** attempt – it would demonstrate another **dishonest** conduct – **diminishing** my creative work – and giving credit to somebody else.
12. My proposal could be considered as a **project** of the **century** costing only about **\$10 billion** and in return generating revenue in **100s** billions.
13. I would like to **emphasize** that getting **funding** for my proposal **will not** be an **issue**.
14. My proposal **does not** interfere with plans **already** in **motion** for the extraction of lithium from the brine of **conventional** geothermal plants – it is in **harmony** with them and in fact, **refills** depleting known **geothermal reservoirs** which is a huge **benefit**. Also, my proposal is in **harmony** with the Quantification Settlement Agreement (**QSA**).

Sincerely,

Nikola Lakic,
Graduate Engineer Architect
Geothermal Worldwide, Inc.
La Quinta, CA 92253

www.GeothermalWorldwide.com

