March 24, 2021

Linda (Seroy) Thill Board Secretary Salton Sea Authority

Subject: "Public Comment, 3/25/21 SSA Board Meeting".

Greetings, distinguish SSA's: GM, Patrick **O'Dowd**; President, Alex **Cardenas**; Vice President, Manuel V. **Perez**; Board Members: Altrena **Saltillanes**; Luis **Plancarte**; James C. **Hanks**; Ryan **Kelley**; Thomas **Tortez**, Jr.; Castulo **Estrada**; Jeff **Hewitt**; and a new member Anthony **Bianco**.

- 1. I am thankful for the opportunity to submit the following comment for the **record**.
- 2. I respectfully urge you again as I did many times to stop the "current course of action" the "Perimeter/Brine Lake" and related projects that inevitably lead to the destruction of the Lake and oncoming ecological disaster with liability exceeding 70 billion dollars in addition to losing lives of the local population. I respectfully urge you to redirect your action with the same effort, but this time in the right direction towards saving and restoring the Salton Sea that will establish a clean environment, and prosperity to the nearby communities and the State in general.
- 3. Since I did not hear any positive response from you, other than courtesy statements such as "Thank you for your statement" and/or "Thank you for your passion", I will continue exposing your wrongdoing and I will continue promoting my proposal with the title "Harnessing Energy and Water in the Salton Sea" whenever an opportunity is given to me.
- **4.** I would like to remind you **again**, as you are aware of it, that a feasible long-term solution for the restoration of the Salton Sea **existed** since 2013.
- 5. I would like to emphasize **again** that my proposal is a **comprehensive design** that, besides the **architectural** design, incorporates **several breakthrough** technologies in the energy industry (Renewable Energy **hydro**, **solar**, **geothermal**) which I am the **author** of.

- 6. For easier understanding, I have summarized my proposal into five segments. Each segment is an integral (co-dependent) part of the unique design for the long-term solution for the restoration of the Salton Sea. Playing architects by trying to take pieces of my design and by trying to incorporate somehow "North Lake" with "Perimeter/Brine Lake" is "butchering" my design. That is unacceptable and would be counterproductive. By the way, the "Perimeter Lake" as officially accepted in 2016, is a sing "snake-like" Lake that consist of Southwestern, Western, Northern, and Eastern sectors, with the main purpose of funneling the New River and Alamo rivers around the expensive "Perimeter wall" into Brine Lake in the middle. That is a ridiculous concept.
- 7. If you have **not been** intentionally **ignorant** for over 7 years, we **would have** a functional Salton Sea **today** and would be in a process of generating revenue in **billions** of dollars.
- 8. Local communities and the State already lost precious time and money because of your wrong decisions, and it would be highly irresponsible to continue losing additional time and money on the projects that are doomed to fail just to save your ego and pride.
- **9.** Fast action is necessary. When you are ready, I would be glad to explain to you the whole project (five segments) in a few hours. The time of 5-minutes or 15-minutes or 30-minutes is OK for a summary but is not adequate time for a serious presentation of a very important project that could be considered as a **project** of the **century**.
- **10.** Your occasional **statement** that you have the **expertise** and that you **know** what to do if state and federal governments would just give you **money** is 100% **false**.
- 11. Prove for that is that you tirelessly promoted the "Perimeter/Brine Lake" knowing that the Lake will be smaller, saltier, smellier, and more polluted every year with serious environmental consequences and health issues to the nearby communities and at the same time intentionally ignored my proposal a long term solution for the restoration of the Salton Sea that would provide clean environment and prosperity to local communities including you, your partners, stakeholders, and the state in general. That is mind-boggling, but it is true.
- 12. If additional confirmation is needed about the **validity** of **my proposal**, I would suggest that you consult, **not** with a local **biologist** or **environmental scientists** who have **limited** technical knowledge, but rather to consult with "**impartial**" experts from several universities such as Stanford, MIT, SMU, and Laboratories such as NREL, and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratories, to mention a few. That is not a difficult task and should be done a long time ago.

- 13. Your record so far is remarkably horrible being short-sighted, relying on old studies that are without creative design, and being ignorant. Also, based on my occasional interactions with you, you are lacking the desire to learn about How we can achieve a functional self-sustainable Lake despite the reduction of inflow of 30% from the Colorado River (because of the QSA)? How can we prevent pollution of the Lake effectively? How we can import seawater profitably? -- How we can, in process of desalinization of the Lake, generate electricity, and have free byproducts potable water and brine that can be used for extraction of Lithium, etc.
- **14.** So, are you going to **improve** your record by **start** working on **saving** and **restoring** the Salton Sea which would be an **honorable** step or are you going to "dig in your heels" trying to **defend** your previous **mistakes** or are you going to make a **new** mistake by trying to **join** the concept of the "Perimeter/Brine Lake" with the concept of "Import of Seawater" which would be **counterproductive?** That is up to **you**, and it **remains** to be **seen**.

Sincerely,

Nikola Lakic,Graduate Engineer Architect
Geothermal Worldwide, Inc.

www.GeothermalWorldwide.com

